# **RÉDACTION N° 178**

COTE: NBR 081

TITRE: PRELIMINARY REPORT ON HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

ASSOCIATION DES COLLABORATEURS DE NICOLAS BOURBAKI

NOMBRE DE PAGES :

47

NOMBRE DE FEUILLES :

47

Comments of the writer. This report is based on the forth-coming book by Cartan and Eilenberg entitled "Homological Algebra" (referred to as HA). The arrangement of the naterial is however considerably different than in HA.

This being a Oth approximation of a plan, everything was omitted that was not essential for the basic plan. The items sacrificed include :

- (a) The general theory of functors, satellited and derided functors.
- (b) The multiplicative theory. This omission is of course purely temporary as the multiplicative theory is essential and will have to be reinstated. However, in the writers opinion, the basic plan can be seen clearer without bringing in the multiplicative theory right away.
- (c) The cohomology theory of algebras à la Hochschild. It is not clear yet whether this theory is essential for Bourbaki.

The report is devided into three chapters. The first one is purely formal and is intended to include everything needed in topological homology theory, except the Kunneth relations. The second part deals with the functors Ext and Tor and includes the Kunneth relations. The third one is very sketchy and is intended to show how the homology and cohomology theories of groups and Lie algebras fit into the scheme of Ch.II. A separate report concerning these questions will be needed.

All the missing detailed definitions, statements and proofs will be found in HA. Chapter XIV of HA dealing with spectral sequences is included as part of this report.

#### Chapter I.

# § 1. Exact sequences.

In this section exact sequences are introduced and various formal properties are established. Host of these properties express themselves by means of diagrams.

Example 1 (the five lenma). Given a commutative diagram

with exact rows, then

(a) Coker 
$$h_2 = 0$$
, Ker  $h_1 = 0$ , Ker  $h_{-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Ker } h_0 = 0$ 

(b) Ker 
$$h_{-2} = 0$$
, Coker  $h_{-1} = 0$ , Coker  $h_{0} = 0$   $\Rightarrow$  Coker  $h_{0} = 0$ .

Corollary: If  $h_1$  and  $h_{-1}$  are isomorphisms,  $h_2$  is an epimorphism and  $h_{-2}$  is a monomorphism then  $h_0$  if an isomorphism .

Example 2 . Consider a commutative diagram

with exact rows. One then defines a homomorphism

and proves that the sequence

 $\text{Ker f'} \longrightarrow \text{Ker f} \longrightarrow \text{Ker f''} \stackrel{\triangle}{\longrightarrow} \text{Coker f'} \longrightarrow \text{Coker f'}$  is exact.

This section could also include the discussion of the behavior of exact sequences vis à vis direct sums, direct products, injective limits and projective limits.

## § 2. Graded modules.

A graded module A is a direct sum  $\sum A^{i}$  of modules i = 0,  $\pm$  1,  $\pm$  2, ... . Convention  $A_i = A^{-1}$  . A map  $f : A \rightarrow C$  of graded modules has degree t if  $f(A^i) \subset C^{i+t}$ .

A n-tuple graded module A is a direct sum  $\sum A^{i_1,\dots,i_n}$ where i, ..., in are integers. An n-tuple graded module defines a graded module by setting  $A^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A^{i_1}, \cdots, i_n$ 

$$A^{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A^{1}, \dots, A^{n}$$
  $i_{1} + \dots + i_{n} = 1$ 

(in pratice, this summation is usually finite).

A map f : A  $\rightarrow$  C of n-tuple graded nodules has degree  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  if  $f(A^{i_1}, \dots^{i_n}) \subset C^{i_1+t_1}, \dots, i_n+t_n$ . The integer  $t=t_1+\dots+t_n$  is called the total degree of f .

A module A (non-graded) may be regarded as an n-tuple graded module by setting  $A = A^0, \cdots, 0$ . A singly graded module A may be regarded as a doubly graded module in two ways : A1,0 = A1 or A0,1 = A1

The notion of a graded module can be generalized. Instead of using integers or sequences of integers as degrees, we can assume that the degrees belong to a commutative group G . For later purposes the group G must be given together with a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{N}\colon \mathbb{G} oup \mathbb{Z}_2$  called the parity function.

## § 3. <u>Modules with differentiation</u>.

A differentiation d in a module A is an endomorphism satisfying dd = 0. Introduce notation

$$Z(A) = \text{Ker d}$$
,  $Z^{\dagger}(A) = \text{Coker d}$ 

$$B(A) = Im d$$
,  $B(A) = Coim d$ .

N-B: We have B(A) pprox B'(A) but the identification should not be made.

The differentiation d admits a factorization

$$A \rightarrow Z^{\dagger}(A) \rightarrow B^{\dagger}(A) \rightarrow B(A) \rightarrow Z(A) \rightarrow A$$
.

From this diagram we obtain the maps

$$0 \rightarrow B(A) \rightarrow Z(A) \rightarrow Z^{\dagger}(A) \rightarrow B^{\dagger}(A) \rightarrow 0$$

which form an axact sequence. Define homology module

$$H(A) = Z(A)/B(A) = Ker(Z^*(A) \longrightarrow B^*(A))$$
.

There results exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H(A) \longrightarrow Z^{\dagger}(A) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}} Z(A) \longrightarrow H(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

where d is induced by d .

Let A and C be modules with differentiation (both denoted by d). A map  $f:A \to C$  is a module homomorphism such that df = fd. A map  $f:A \to C$  is a module homomorphism such that df = fd. A map  $f:A \to C$  induces maps  $Z(f):Z(A) \to Z(C),...,H(f):H(A) \to H(C)$ .

Let f,g be two maps  $A \rightarrow C$ . A homotopy s:  $f \cong g$  is a homomorphism s:  $A \rightarrow C$  such that ds + sd = g-f. If f and g are homotopic then H(f) = H(g).

A module A without differentiation may be regarded as a module with differentiation zero. Then  $Z(A) = Z^*(A) = H(A) = A$ ,  $B(A) = B^*(A) = 0$ . If A is a module with differentiation then Z(A), B(A),  $Z^*(A)$ ,  $B^*(A)$ , H(A) are modules with zero differentiation.

Let

$$0 \to A^{\dagger} \to A \to A^{\dagger} \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of modules with differentiation. We consider the commutative diagram

and prove that the rows are exact. Now apply Example 2 above. results a map

$$\triangle$$
 8  $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ 

called the connecting homomorphism and the sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H(A^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow H(A) \longrightarrow H(A^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\triangle} H(A^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow H(A) \longrightarrow H(A^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$
 is exact. This is the homology sequence.

If
$$0 \longrightarrow A^{\dagger} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A^{\pi} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C^{\dagger} \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C^{\pi} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a commutative diagram with exact rows, then the diagram

is commutative.

Behavior of above operators with respect to sums, products, and limits.

## § 4. Complexes.

A complex is a graded module with a differentiation d of degree +1. Then Z(A),...,H(A) are graded modules. The natural isomorphism  $B^{*}(A) \ pprox \ B(A)$  has degree +1 and this is the reason for avoiding the identification.

Maps f : A  $\rightarrow$  C of complexes will always be assumed to be of degree 0 . Homotopies have degree -1. The homology sequence takes the  $\cdots \to \operatorname{H}^{n}(A^{\dagger}) \to \operatorname{H}^{n}(A) \to \operatorname{H}^{n}(A^{n}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \operatorname{H}^{n+1}(A^{\dagger}) \to \operatorname{H}^{n+1}(A) \to \cdots$ 

An n-tuple complex A is an n-tuple graded module with endomorphisms d1, ... , d, such that

- (1°) d, has degree +1 with respect to the index i and has degree zero with respect to other indices.
- $(2^{\circ})$   $d_i d_i = 0$
- $(3^{\circ})$   $d_{i}d_{j} + d_{j}d_{i} = 0$ .

It follows that the associated graded module is a complex with the total differentiation  $d=d_1+\ldots+d_n$  .

Maps f: A  $\rightarrow$ C of n-tuple complexes are assumed to be of degree (0,...,0). If f and g are two such maps we define a homotopy  $(s_1,...,s_n)$ :  $f \simeq g$  to be a sequence of homomorphisme  $s_i$ : A  $\rightarrow$ C i=1,...,n such that  $(1^0)$   $s_i$  has degree -1 with respect to the index i and has degree zero with respect to other indices.

- $(2^{\circ})$   $d_{i}s_{i} + s_{i}d_{i} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$
- $(3^{\circ}) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{i}s_{i} + s_{i}d_{i} = f-g$ .

It follows that  $s=s_1+\cdots+s_n$  satisfies ds+sd=f-g, so that we obtain a homotopy in the associated complexes.

If A is an n-tuple complex, then we use the symbol H(A) to denote the homology module of A relative to the total differentiation operator d. In addition we may consider the homology module  $H_{(i)}(A)$  relative to the differentiation operator  $d_i$ . The module  $H_{(i)}(A)$  is n-tuply graded and further  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  induce in  $H_{(i)}(A)$  the structure of an n-tuple complex with i-th differentiation operator zero.

In particular if A is a double complex, then we have the graded module H(A) and the double graded modules  $H_{(2)}H_{(1)}(A)$  and  $H_{(1)}H_{(2)}(A)$ .

### § 5. Filtrations and spectral sequences.

This question has been treated at lenght in Ch. XIV of HA. This chapter is attached as part of this report.

## \$ 6. Hom and ⊗.

Let A and C be left  $\Lambda$ -modules. We shall write  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda,C)$  for the group of  $\Lambda$  -homomorphisms A  $\rightarrow$  C . If A is a right  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  -module and C is a left  $\dot{\Lambda}$  -module we consider the tensor products A  $oldsymbol{artheta}_{\Lambda}$  C , which is an abelian group.

Induced homomorphisms, i.e. Hom  $_{\wedge}$  and  $\otimes_{\wedge}$  are functors. Behavior with respect to sums, products and limits.

Behavior of  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}$  and  $\otimes_{\Lambda}$  with respect exact sequences :

If  $A^* \rightarrow A \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow C^* \rightarrow C \rightarrow C^*$  are exact then

 $O \rightarrow Hom(A^n,C) \rightarrow Hom(A,C) \rightarrow Hom(A,C)$ 

 $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A,C^{\dagger}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A,C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A,C^{\dagger})$ 

 $0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A^n,C^1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A,C) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A^1,C) + \operatorname{Hom}(A,C^n)$ 

are exact.

If  $A^{\dagger} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A^{n} \rightarrow 0$  and  $C^{\dagger} \rightarrow C \rightarrow C^{n} \rightarrow 0$  are exact then  $A^{\dagger} \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes C \rightarrow A^{\dagger} \otimes C \rightarrow 0$  $A \otimes C^{\dagger} \rightarrow A \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes C^{\dagger} \rightarrow 0$ 

 $A^{\dagger} \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes C^{\dagger} \rightarrow A \otimes C \rightarrow A^{\dagger} \otimes C^{\dagger} \rightarrow 0$ 

are exact.

#### § 7. Graded modules.

Let A and C be graded modules. We consider the doubly graded groups  $D^{p,q} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda} (A_p, C^{Q}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda} (A^{-p}, C^{q})$ 

(note the change of sign on the contravariant variable). This doubly graded group is denoted by  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A,C)$ , but is <u>not</u> the group of all homomorphisms  $A \longrightarrow C$  . The associated graded <u>modul</u>e also is denoted by

Hom  $_{\Lambda}$  (A,C).

Let  $f: A^{\epsilon} \to A$ ,  $g: C \to C^{\epsilon}$  he maps of degree r and s respectively. We define the map

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Hom}(f,g): \text{Hom}(A,C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(A^{\circ},C^{\circ}) \\ \\ \text{of degree (r,s) as follows: If } \phi \in D^{p,q} \text{ i.e. } \phi: \mathbb{A}_{p} \longrightarrow C^{q} \text{ then} \\ \\ \text{Hom}(f,g)\phi = (-1)^{ps} g^{q} \phi f_{p+r}: \mathbb{A}_{p+r}^{\circ} \longrightarrow C^{q+s} \end{array}.$ 

This rule is easier understood on the tensor product. If  $f: A \rightarrow A'$ , g:  $C \rightarrow C'$  are maps of degree r and s respectively, then  $f \otimes g: A \otimes C \rightarrow A' \otimes C'$  is a map of degree (r,s) given by

 $(f \otimes g)(a^p \otimes c^q) = (-1)^{ps}fa^p \otimes gc^q$ 

(note that a p and g have changed places!).

If A and V are complexes then  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A,C)$  is a double complex with differentiations

 $d_1 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\bigwedge} \left( d_A, C \right) \;, \quad d_2 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\bigwedge} (A, d_C) \;.$  Note that these differentiations anti-commute as required. Further, the total differentiation is the usual one (sign included). Similarly  $A \otimes C$  is a double complex with

 $d_1 = d_A \otimes C$  ,  $d_2 = A \otimes d_C$  .

The total differentiation  $d = d_1 + d_2$  satisfies

$$d(a^p \otimes C^q) = (da^p) \otimes c^q + (-1)^p a^p \otimes dc^q$$

as usual.

The above needs to be generalized : If A is an k-tuple complex and C is a 1-tuple complex then  $\operatorname{Hom}(A,C)$  and  $A\otimes C$  are (k+1)-tuple complexes.

# § 8. Homomorphismes $\alpha$ and $\alpha^*$ .

Let A and C be complexes. Define homomorphisms

$$\alpha^{e}: H(Hom_{\Lambda}(\Lambda,C)) \longrightarrow Hom_{\Lambda}(H(\Lambda),H(C))$$

$$\alpha : H(A) \otimes_{\Lambda} H(C) \longrightarrow H(A \otimes_{\Lambda} C)$$
.

These homomorphisms have the following basic properties

- (1°)  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  are natural relative to maps of A and C .
- (2°)  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ ' are identities if A and C have zero differentiations.

These two properties characterize  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  completely. Further important properties are commutation rules with connecting homomorphisms. For instance, if  $0 \to C' \to C \to C'' \to 0$  is an exact sequence.

#### Chapter II.

### § 1. Projective and injective modules.

A module A is <u>projective</u> if given any homomorphism  $f: A \to B^n$  and any epimorphism  $g: B \to B^n$  there is a homomorphism  $h: A \to B$  with  $f = g \circ h$ .

Equivalent properties :

- (1°) If g: B  $\rightarrow$ B<sup>n</sup> is an epimorphism them Hom  $_{\bigwedge}(A,g)$ : Hom  $_{\bigwedge}(A,B)$   $\rightarrow$  Hom (AB<sup>n</sup>) also is an epimorphism.
- (2°) If  $0 \to C^{\dagger} \to C \to C^{\pi} \to 0$  is exact then  $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(A,C^{\dagger}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(A,C) \to \operatorname{Hom}(A,C^{\pi}) \to 0$  also is exact.
- (3°) If  $f: \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is an epimorphism the Ker f is a direct summand of  $\mathbb{A}$ .
- (4°) A is a direct summand of a  $\Lambda$ -free module.

A direct sum of modules is projective if and only if each member is projective.

There is a dual notion of an <u>injective</u> module. A module A is injective if given any homomorphism  $f\colon B^*\to A$  and any monomorphism  $g\colon B^*\to B$  there is a homomorphism  $h\colon B\to A$  such that f=hg. Equivalent properties:

- (10) If g: B'  $\rightarrow$  B is a monomorphism then  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(g,A)$ :  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(B,A) \rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(B^{\dagger}A)$  is an epimorphism
- (2°) If  $0 \to B^{\dagger} \to B \to B^{m} \to 0$  is exact then  $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(B^{m}, A) \to \operatorname{Hom}(B, A) \to \operatorname{Hom}(B^{\dagger}, A) \to 0$  also is exact.
- (3°) If  $f: A \to \overline{A}$  is a monomorphism then Im f is a direct summand of  $\overline{A}$ .

(4°) Given a (left) ideal I of  $\Lambda$  and a homomorphism f: I  $\rightarrow$  A there is an element a  $\in$  A such that fi = ia for all i  $\in$  I.

The topological analogues of injective modules are the absolute retracts.

Basic property: every module is a quotient of a projective module and is a submodule of an injective module.

If A is a projective module and C is a complex then

$$\alpha^{\circ}$$
: H(Hom(A,C))  $\approx$  Hom(A,H(C))

and similarly for  $\alpha$  and  $\otimes_{\Lambda}$  .

If C is an injective module and A is a complex then  $\alpha^* \,:\, H(\text{Hom}(A,C)) \, \approx \, \text{Hom}(H(A),C) \;.$ 

#### § 2. Resolutions.

A projective resolution X of A is a complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_o \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$
 composed of projective modules and a map

called the augmentation, such that  $\epsilon$  induces an isomorphism  $H(X) \gtrsim H(A) = A$ . This is equivalent with the exactness of the sequence

Injective resolutions are defined similarly : reverse all arrows and raise all indices.

There is a whole body of propositions concerning projective and injective resolutions (see HA Ch.V). The main ones are: projective resolutions exist for any module and two projective resolutions of the

same module have the same homotopy type (i.e. there exist maps  $\phi\colon X\to X^\circ$  and  $\psi\colon X^\circ\to X$  such that the composition  $\psi\phi$  and  $\phi\psi$  are homotopic to the identity maps. Similarly for injective resolutions.

## § 3. Ext and Tor.

Let A and C be  $\Lambda$ -modules, let X be a projective resolution of A and Y and injective resolution of C . Then  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X,Y)$  is a double complex whose homology module is (up to canonical isomorphisms) independent of the choice of X and Y . We define

Ext<sub>$$\Lambda$$</sub> (A,C) = H(Hom <sub>$\Lambda$</sub>  (X,Y))

This is a graded module. In addition to the usual functorial properties (contravariant in A and covariant in C) we define connecting homomorphisms: for each exact sequence  $0 \to A^* \to A \to A^* \to 0$  we have a homomorphism  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}(A^*,C) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}(A^*,C)$  of degree +1; for each exact sequence  $0 \to C^* \to C \to C^* \to 0$  we have a homomorphism  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}(A,C^*) \to \operatorname{Ext}(A,C^*)$  of degree 1. The following are the main properties of ext.

- (1) For each exact sequence  $0 \to A^{\dagger} \to A \to A^{\dagger} \to 0$  the sequence  $\cdots \to \operatorname{Ext}^p(A^{\dagger},C) \to \operatorname{Ext}^p(A,C) \to \operatorname{Ext}^p(A^{\dagger},C) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{p+1}(A^{\dagger},C) \to \cdots$  is exact.
- (1a) For each exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow C^{\dagger} \rightarrow C \rightarrow C^{\pi} \rightarrow 0$  the sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^p(A,C^{\dagger}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^p(A,C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^p(A,C^{\pi}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^p(A,C^{\dagger}) \rightarrow \cdots$  is exact.
- (2)  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{p}(A,C) = 0$  for p < 0,  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{o}(A,C) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A,C)$ .
- (3) Ext $_{\Lambda}^{p}$  (A,C) = 0 for p > 0 and A projective.
- (3a)  $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{p}(A,C) = 0$  for p > 0 and C injective.

Properties (1), (2) and (3) (or (1a), (2) and (3a)) together with the naturality properties yield an exiomatic description of Ext $_{\Lambda}$  .

Ext $_{\Lambda}$  (A,C) was defined by taking resolutions of both A and C . It suffices however to resolved only one of the variables. We have

$$H(Hom_{\Lambda}(X,C) \approx Ext_{\Lambda}(A,C) \approx H(Hom_{\Lambda}(A,Y))$$
.

A similar discussion applies to  $A \otimes C$  . Here we take projective resolutions of X and Y for A and C . Then

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\Lambda}(A,C) = \operatorname{H}(X \otimes_{\Lambda} Y)$$

is essentially independent of X and Y. The properties of Tor are similar to those for Ext.

### § 4. <u>Dimension</u>.

The <u>projective dimension</u> of a module A is  $\leq$  n if there is a projective resolution X of A with  $X_{n+1}=0$ . The projective dimension of A is zero if and only if A is projective. Injective dimension is defined similarly.

The left global dimension of a ring  $\Lambda$  is the maximum projective dimension of left  $\Lambda$ -modules. The same definition is obtained using injective dimension. Right global dimension is defined using right  $\Lambda$ -modules. The two dimensions coincide in a number of special cases, but the general question is open.

The questions of dimension are intimetely connected with the functors  $\mathsf{Ext}_{\Lambda}$  . Indeed

projective dimension  $A \leq n \iff \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(A,C) = 0$  for all C injective dimension  $C \leq n \iff \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(A,C) = 0$  for all A left global dimension  $\leq n \iff \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1} = 0$ .

The following properties are equivalent.

- (1) left global dimension  $\Lambda = 0$
- (2) every left  $\Lambda$  -module is projective
- (3) every left  $\Lambda$  -module is injective
- (4) every submodule of a left  $\Lambda$  -module is a direct summand
- (5) every left  $\Lambda$  -module is a direct sum of simple  $\Lambda$  -modules
- (6) every left ideal of  $\Lambda$  is a direct sum of simple  $\Lambda$  -modules.

These rings are called "semi-simple", and have a structure theorem showing that the right global dimension also is zero.

The following properties are equivalent.

- (7) left global dimension  $\Lambda \leq 1$
- (8) every submodule of a projective left  $\Lambda$  -module is projective
- (9) every quotient module of an injective left  $\Lambda$ -module is injective
- (10) every left ideal of  $\Lambda$  is projective.

Such rings are called hereditary.

For integral domains "hereditary" = Dedekind .

## § 5. Künneth relations.

A projective (injective) resolution of a module A is a complex. It is natural to expect that the projective (injective) resolution of a complex should be a double complex subjected to various conditions. For a definition see HA, Ch. XVI.

Let A and C be complexes, X a projective resolution of A and  $\emptyset$  an injective resolution of C. Then  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X,Y)$  is a quadruple complex. We regard it as a double complex by grouping the first index with the third and second with the fourth. All the invariants of this double complex are idependent of the choice of X and Y. The terms  $\operatorname{E}_2$  for the two spectral sequences can be computed and yield

(1) 
$$H^p(\mathbb{E}xt^q_{\Lambda}(\Lambda,C)) \stackrel{\Longrightarrow}{\Longrightarrow} H^n(Hom_{\Lambda}(X,Y))$$

(2) 
$$\sum_{p_1+p_2=p} \operatorname{Ext}_{q}^{q} (H_{p_1}(A), H^{p_2}(C)) \stackrel{q}{\Longrightarrow} H^{n}(Hom_{\Lambda}(X,Y))$$

These are the most general Eunneth relations. If we assume that in (1) all terms with q>0 vanish (e.g. if A is  $\Lambda$ -projective or C is  $\Lambda$ -injective) then the sequence (1) collapses and (2) becomes

(3) 
$$\sum_{p_1+p_2=p} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{q}(H_{p_1}(\Lambda),H^{p_2}(C)) \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{=} H^n(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda,C))$$

If further  $\Lambda$  is hereditary, then in (3) all terms with q>1 are zero and the spectral sequence (3) reduces to the exact sequence

(4) 
$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1$$
 (H(A),H(C))  $\rightarrow$  H(Hom, (A,C))  $\stackrel{\text{$\times'$}}{\rightarrow}$  Hom,(H(A),H(C))  $\rightarrow$  0.

A similar discussion applies to A  $\varnothing_{\Lambda}$  C using projective resolutions X and Y of the complexes A and C . We obtain

(11) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{p}(\mathbb{T}\mathrm{or}_{q}^{\Lambda}(\Lambda,C)) \stackrel{\Longrightarrow}{=} \mathbb{H}_{n}(\mathbb{X} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Y})$$

(21) 
$$\sum_{p_1+p_2=p} \operatorname{Tor}_{q}^{\Lambda}(H_{p_1}(\Lambda),H_{p_2}(C)) \stackrel{\Longrightarrow}{\rightleftharpoons} H_{n}(X \otimes_{\Lambda} Y).$$

If terms in (1) with q > 0 are zero then (2) becomes

(3') 
$$\sum_{p_1+p_2=p} \operatorname{Tor}_{q}^{\Lambda}(H_{p_1}(A), H_{p_2}(C)) \Longrightarrow H_{n}(A \otimes_{\Lambda} C)$$

If  $\Lambda$  is hereditary (3') reduces to the exact sequence

$$(4') \quad 0 \rightarrow H(A) \otimes_{\Lambda} H(C) \xrightarrow{\times} H(A \otimes_{\Lambda} C) \rightarrow Tor_{1}(H(A), H(C)) \rightarrow 0.$$

#### Chapter III.

#### § 1. K-algebras.

In this part we assume that the ring  $\Lambda$  is a K-algebra where K is a commutative ring i.e. that a ring homomorphism  $\eta: K \to \Lambda$  is given such that  $\eta(K) \subset$  Center A.

A complemented algebra is a K-algebra  $\Lambda$  which is projective as a K-module and for which a ring homomorphism  $\epsilon:\Lambda\to K$  is given such that the composition  $K\to \Lambda\to K$  is the identity. The kernel of  $\epsilon$  is a two sided ideal I (called the augmentation ideal or the complementary ideal) and as a K-module  $\Lambda$  is the direct sum I + K. The map  $\epsilon$  is called the <u>augmentation</u> and induces in K the structure of a left (and right)  $\Lambda$ -module.

The homology and cohomology groups of  $\Lambda$  are defined as

$$H_n(\Lambda, A) = Tor_n(A, K)$$
  
 $H^n(\Lambda, C) = Ext_{\Lambda}^n(K, C)$ 

where A is a right  $\Lambda$  -module and C is a left  $\Lambda$  -module. If X is a  $\Lambda$  -projective resolution of K as a left  $\Lambda$  -module then

$$H_n(\Lambda,A) = H_n(A \otimes_{\Lambda} X)$$

$$H^{n}(\Lambda,C) = H^{n}(Hom_{\Lambda}(X,C))$$

For various algebras  $\Lambda$  "nice" complexes X may be chosen. One such complex is the standard complex  $S(\Lambda)$  which can be constructed for any complemented ring  $\Lambda$ . We define

$$S_n(\Lambda) = \Lambda \otimes I \otimes ... \otimes I$$
  $( \otimes = \otimes_K)$ 

A typical element of  $S_n(\Lambda)$  will be written as

$$\lambda[i_1,\ldots,i_n]$$
  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $i_j \in I$ .

The differentiation is defined as

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d} \lambda \mathbf{i}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{n} &= \lambda \, \mathrm{d} (\mathbf{i}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{n}) \\ \mathrm{d} \big[ \mathbf{i}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{n} \big] &= \mathbf{i}_{1} (\mathbf{i}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{n}) \, + \, \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} (\mathbf{i}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{j} \mathbf{i}_{j+1}, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{n}) \, . \end{split}$$

If we denote

$$[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n] = (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n - \varepsilon \lambda_n) \qquad \lambda_1 \in \Lambda$$

then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a} \left[ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \right] &= \lambda_1 \left[ \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \right] \\ &+ (-1)^n \left[ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \right] \left( \varepsilon \lambda_n \right) \,. \end{split}$$

### § 2. Groups.

Let  $\mathbb T$  be a (multiplicative) group. We define  $\Lambda = K(\mathbb T)$  to be the K-algebra of  $\mathbb T$ . Then a  $\Lambda$ -module is a K-module on which  $\mathbb T$  operates as a group of K-endomorphisms. We convert  $\Lambda$  into a complemented K-algebra by setting  $\mathfrak L = 1$  for  $\mathfrak L \in \mathbb T$ . The homology and cohomology groups of this complemented algebra  $\mathbb T$  are then the homology and cohomology groups of  $\mathbb T$ .

#### § 3. Lie algebras.

Let L be a Lie algebra over K which is K-free. Let  $\Lambda=E(L)$  be the enveloping algebra of L. Then a left  $\Lambda$ -module is a representation of L and vice versa.  $\Lambda$  is complemented by setting  $\epsilon x=0$  for  $x \in L$ . Again the homology and cohomology groups of  $\Lambda$  are the homology and cohomology groups of the Lie algebra L.

178

#### II. ABELIAN CATEGORIES

#### 1. Definition.

Let A be a category such that H(A,B) is an abelian group, for any object A,B  $\epsilon$  A. We shall say that A is an <u>abelian category</u> if the following axioms hold:

- (A.1) The composition  $H(B,C) \times H(A,B) \rightarrow H(A,C)$  is bilinear.
- (A.2) For every u:  $A \rightarrow B$ , there exist maps  $C \xrightarrow{t} A \xrightarrow{V} D \xrightarrow{W} B \xrightarrow{Z} E$ (C: nayou C : nage (a coimage ; a distriguena) E : coneyon.

such that u = wv and for each X  $\varepsilon A$  the following sequences are exact:

$$0 \rightarrow H(X,C) \rightarrow H(X,A) \rightarrow H(X,D) -$$

$$0 \rightarrow H(X,D) \rightarrow H(X,B) \rightarrow H(X,E)$$

$$0 \to H(D,X) \to H(A,X) \to H(C,X)$$
$$0 \to H(E,X) \to H(B,X) \to H(D,X).$$

(A.3) Given A7, A2 & A there exists A & A and maps

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} A \xrightarrow{p_1} A_1 \qquad A_2 \xrightarrow{i_2} A \xrightarrow{p_2} A_2$$

Some directe

such that

$$p_{1}i_{1} = 1_{A_{1}}, \quad p_{2}i_{2} = 1_{A_{2}}, \quad p_{2}i_{1} = 0 = p_{1}i_{2}, \quad i_{1}p_{1} + i_{2}p_{2} = 1_{A}.$$

The axioms are self dual and thus  $\mathcal{A}^{\star}$  also is an abelian category.

It follows from (A.1) that H(A,A) is a ring with  $l_A$  as unit. Each H(A,B) is a right H(A,A)-and a left H(B,B)- bi-module. The composition is a map

$$H(B,C) \otimes_{H(B,B)} H(A,B) \rightarrow H(A,C)$$

and is a left H(C,C)- and right H(A,A)-homomorphism.

Proposition 1. For any A & A the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $l_A = 0$
- (2) H(A,A) = 0
- (3) H(A,X) = 0 for all X
- (4) H(X,A) = 0 for all X.

Proof. Trivial.

An element A having the properties listed in the proposition is called a zero element; notation A = 0. If  $A^{\circ}$  is another zero element then the map  $0: A \rightarrow A^{\circ}$  is an isomorphism. Thus all zero elements of A form a class with unique isomorphisms. The existence of zero elements will be proved as a consequence of (A.2).

Proposition 2. A monomorphism u:  $A \rightarrow B$  is zero if A = 0. An epimorphism v:  $A \rightarrow B$  is zero if and only if B = 0.

Proof. If u=0 then  $H(X,A) \to H(X,B)$  is zero. Since it also is a monomorphism it follows that H(X,A)=0, i.e., A=0. Conversely, if A=0 then u=0 because H(A,B)=0. Second half is dual.

2. Kernels, images, etc.

We now pass to a discussion of (A.2). We note that t and w are monomorphisms and v and z are epimorphisms. Further since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(C,C) \rightarrow H(C,A) \rightarrow H(D,A)$$

is exact it follows that

$$vt = 0, ut = 0.$$
 $zw = 0, zu = 0.$ 

Similarly,

Proposition 1. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
A^{?} & \xrightarrow{u^{?}} & B^{?}
\end{array}$$

and let

$$C \xrightarrow{t} A \xrightarrow{V} D \xrightarrow{W} B \xrightarrow{Z} E$$

$$C \uparrow \xrightarrow{t \uparrow} A \uparrow \xrightarrow{V^{\dagger}} D \uparrow \xrightarrow{W^{\dagger}} B \uparrow \xrightarrow{Z^{\dagger}} E \uparrow$$

be maps given by (A.2) for u and u. Then there exist unique maps

c: 
$$C \rightarrow C^{\dagger}$$
, d:  $D \rightarrow D^{\dagger}$ , e:  $E \rightarrow E^{\dagger}$ 

such that the diagram

$$C \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow E$$

$$C' \longrightarrow A^{0} \longrightarrow D^{1} \longrightarrow B' \longrightarrow E^{1}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Since ut = 0 we have 0 = but = u!at = w!v!at. However w! is a monomorphism and thus v!at = 0. Since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(C,C^1) \rightarrow H(C,A^1) \rightarrow H(C,D^1)$$

is exact and the element at  $\epsilon$  H(C,A') yields zero in H(C,D') there exists a unique c: C  $\rightarrow$  C' such that t'c = at. The existence and uniqueness of e:E  $\rightarrow$  E' is dual.

Since zw = 0 we have  $0 = ezw = z^{\dagger}bw$ . Since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(D,D^{\epsilon}) \rightarrow H(D,B^{\epsilon}) \rightarrow H(D,E^{\epsilon})$$

is exact and the element bw  $\varepsilon$  H(D,B') yields zero in H(D,E) there exists a unique d  $\varepsilon$  H(D,D') such that w'd = bw. Now we have

$$w^{\dagger}v^{\dagger}a = u^{\dagger}a = bu = bwv = w^{\dagger}dv.$$

Since  $w^t$  is a monomorphism it follows that  $v^ta = dv$ . The proof is now complete.

Corollary. If in Prop. 1 a and b are isomorphisms, then c, d, e are also isomorphisms.

In particular, applying Prop. and Cor. to the case A = A!, B = B!,  $a = 1_A$ ,  $b = 1_B$  we find that the monomorphism classes t:  $C \rightarrow A$  and w:  $D \rightarrow B$  are uniquely determined by u. Similarly the epimorphism classes v:  $A \rightarrow D$  and z:  $B \rightarrow E$  are uniquely determined. We adopt the following terminology:

Ker u = class of t,

Co-im u = class of v,

Im u = class of w,

Coker u = class of z.

Proposition 2. The following properties are equivalent:

(1) u is a monomorphism;

- (2) C = 0 (i.e. Ker u = 0);
- (3) v is an isomorphism (i.e. Co-im  $u = l_A$ );
- (4) u = Im u (i.e. u is an element of the class Im u).

Proof. If (1) holds then  $H(X,A) \to H(X,B)$  is a monomorphism. Therefore H(X,C)=0 and C=0. If (2) holds then  $H(D,X) \to H(A,X)$  induced by v:  $A\to 0$  is an isomorphism. Thus by v is an isomorphism. If (3) holds then we may replace D by A so that  $v=1_A$ . Then w=u, which is then a monomorphism.

Proposition  $2^{*}(dual)$ . The following properties are equivalent:

- (1) u is an epimorphism:
- (2) E = 0 (i.e. Coker u = 0);
- (3) w is an isomorphism;
  - (4) u = Co-im u.

Proposition 4. The following properties are equivalent:

Les EVT ain't no abelian category

- (I) u is an isomorphism;
- (2) u is a monomorphism and an epimorphism;
- (3) C = 0 and E = 0.

Proof. The implication  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is clear while the implication  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  follows from Prop. and Prop. If C = 0 then v is an isomorphism and if E = 0 then v is an isomorphism. Thus if C = 0 and C = 0 then C = 0 then C = 0 is an isomorphism.

Corollary. Every (not empty) abelian category contains zero objects.

3. Exact sequences (sketch only).

A sequence

is called exact if for every n such that j < n < i we have

$$Ker u_n = Im u_{n+1}$$
.

The equality is understood as a monomorphism class in  $\underbrace{M(A_n)}_{l}$ . Dual and equivalent definition:

ce scut les "saw frugt a An

1

$$0 \rightarrow H(X,A^1) \rightarrow H(X,A) \rightarrow H(X,A^n)$$

is exact for every X.

Proposition. A sequence  $A^{\circ} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A^{\circ} \rightarrow 0$  is exact if and only if

$$0 \rightarrow H(A^n, X) \rightarrow H(A, X) \rightarrow H(A^n, X)$$

is exact for every X.

Proposition. A map u:  $A^{\dagger} \rightarrow A$  is a monomorphism if and only if

$$0 \rightarrow A^1 \xrightarrow{U} A$$

is exact. There exists then an essentially unique epimorphism  $v \colon A \to A^{m}$  such that

$$0 \longrightarrow A^{1} \xrightarrow{U} A \xrightarrow{V} A^{m} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proposition, dual.

Proposition. In the sequence

$$A_{1} \xrightarrow{u_{1}^{2}} A_{1-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_{j}$$

consider for each  $n, j \le n < i$  the factorization

$$A_n \xrightarrow{v_n} Z_{n-1} \xrightarrow{w_{n-1}} A_{n-1}, \quad u_n = w_{n-1}v_n$$

where  $v_n$  is an epimorphism and  $w_{n-1}$  is a monomorphism. The sequence  $(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$  is exact if and only if each of the sequences

$$0 \to Z_n \xrightarrow{w_n} A_n \xrightarrow{v_n} Z_{n-1} \to 0$$

is exact for j < n < i.

This last formulation is self-dual.

Proposition. For every map u:  $A \rightarrow B$  we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to C \xrightarrow{t} A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{z} E \to 0$$

given by (A.2).

4. Direct sums, products.

 $u_i: A_i \rightarrow A_i$  is I. Let

We shall say that the  $u_{\hat{1}}$  are a representation of A as a direct sum of  $A_{\hat{1}}$  if for each X the map

$$H(A,X) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} H(A_i,X)$$
 [ c'est general, so apparaint on  $\S I$ ]

given by

is an isomorphism.

Elementary properties of direct sums.

1) There exists a unique set of maps

such that

$$v_{i}u_{i} = l_{A_{i}}, \quad v_{i}u_{j} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Indeed, take  $X=A_{\hat{1}}$  above and consider the element of  $\prod_j$   $H(A_j,A_{\hat{1}})$  with i-th coordinate  $I_{A_{\hat{1}}}$  and all others zero.

2) Each ui is a monomorphism and each vi is an epimorphism.

Indeed, let  $w_1w^2$ :  $X \rightarrow A_1$  and assume  $u_1w = u_1w^2$ . Then

$$w = v_i u_i w = v_i u_i w^! = w^!.$$

Similarly for  $v_i$ .

3) Let

$$u_i: A_i \rightarrow A, \quad u_i^!: A_i^! \rightarrow A^!$$

be direct sums. Given any set of maps

there exists a unique

such that each diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{1} & \xrightarrow{\text{Wi}} & A_{1}^{\dagger} \\ u_{1} & & & \downarrow & u_{1}^{\dagger} \\ A & \xrightarrow{W} & A^{\dagger} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then also  $v_1^{\ v} = v_1^{\ v} v_1^{\ v}$  w is an epimorphism if and only if each  $v_1^{\ v}$  is. If w is a monomorphism then each  $v_1^{\ v}$  also is a monomorphism.

Dual definition and properties of direct products  $v_i$ :  $A \rightarrow A_i$ .

Proposition 1. Let I be a finite set and consider maps

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} A \xrightarrow{v_1} A_1$$

such that

$$v_i u_i = l_{A_i}$$
,  $v_i u_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) The u, yield a direct sum;
- (ii) The vi yield a direct product;

$$(\mathring{1}\mathring{1}\mathring{1}) \sum_{\mathring{1}} u_{\mathring{1}} v_{\mathring{1}} = 1_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Proof. (i)  $\implies$  (iii). Let  $w = \sum u_i v_i$ . Then

$$wu_i = (\Sigma u_j v_j)u_i = u_i l_{A_i} = u_i = l_A u_i$$

Thus  $w = l_A$ .

(iii) ⇒ (i). Consider

$$H(A,X) \stackrel{\varphi}{\longleftrightarrow} TH(A_i,X)$$

given by  $\varphi w = \{wu_i\}$  and  $\psi\{w_i\} = \sum_i w_i v_i$ . Then  $\psi \varphi w = \psi\{wu_i\} = \sum_i w_i v_i = w \sum_i u_i v_i = w \sum$ 

The equivalence (ii)  $\iff$  (iii) is dual.

Axiom (A.3) asserts the existence of a direct sum (and direct product) for any two factors. This implies the existence for any finite number of factors. There are easy examples where infinite direct sums or products do not exist.

Associativity laws could be proved whenever sums (or products) exist.

Proposition 2. Let

$$u_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A$$
,  $v_1 : B_1 \rightarrow B$ ,  $z_1 : C_1 \rightarrow C$ , i.e. I

be direct sum representations. Suppose that for each i & I we have maps

$$A_i \xrightarrow{a_i} B_i \xrightarrow{b_i} C_i$$
.

The sequence

$$A \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} C \rightarrow 0$$

is exact (where a and b are defined by  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ ) if and only if each of the sequences

$$A_{\hat{1}} \xrightarrow{a_{\hat{1}}} B_{\hat{1}} \xrightarrow{b_{\hat{1}}} C_{\hat{1}} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Pas tyr

hes zeros à avoite

Proof. The sequence A  $\rightarrow$  B  $\rightarrow$  C  $\rightarrow$  0 is exact if and only if the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(C,X) \rightarrow H(B,X) \rightarrow H(A,X)$$

is exact for every X. This is equivalent with the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \to \text{TH}(\texttt{G}_{\mathring{\texttt{1}}}, \texttt{X}) \to \text{TH}(\texttt{B}_{\mathring{\texttt{1}}}, \texttt{X}) \to \text{TH}(\texttt{A}_{\mathring{\texttt{1}}}, \texttt{X})$$

which in turn is equivalent with the exactness of each of the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H(G_{1},X) \rightarrow H(B_{1},X) \rightarrow H(A_{1},X).$$

The last condition is equivalent with the exactness of

$$A_1 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow 0$$
.

Proposition 3. Let

$$A^{\mathfrak{l}} \overset{\mathbb{U}^{\mathfrak{l}}}{\underset{\mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{l}}}{\rightleftharpoons}} A \xrightarrow{\mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{n}}} \hat{A}^{\mathfrak{n}}$$

be such that  $v^{\dagger}u^{\dagger} = I_{A^{\dagger}}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalents:

- (i) The sequence  $0 \to A^{\frac{n}{2}} \to A \xrightarrow{v^n} A^n \to 0$  is exact.
- (ii) There exists a map  $u^n \colon A^n \to A$  such that  $v^n u^n = 1_{A^n}$ ,  $u^n v^n + u^n v^n = 1_{A^n}$ ,  $v^n u^n = 0$ ,  $v^n u^n = 0$ .
- (iii) The maps v1, v8 yield a direct product representation of A.
- (iv) For every X the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(X,A^{\dagger}) \rightarrow H(X,A) \rightarrow H(X,A^{\otimes}) \rightarrow 0$$

induced by u' and v" is exact.

Proof. (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii). It follows from (i) that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(A^{n},A) \rightarrow H(A,A) \rightarrow H(A^{n},A)$$

is exact. In H(A,A) consider the element  $l_A$ -  $u^*v^*$ . Its image in  $H(A^*,A)$  is  $(l_A-u^*v^*)u^*=u^*-u^*v^*u^*=u^*-u^*=0.$ 

Thus there is an element  $u^{ii} \in H(A^{ii},A)$  such that  $u^{ii}v^{ii} = 1_A - u^iv^i$ . Consequently

$$u^{i}v^{i}+u^{ij}v^{ii}=1_{A}.$$

Applying v" on the left we find

$$v_n r_n = v_u$$

Since v" is an epimorphism we have

$$u^nv^n = l_{A^n}$$

Multiplying (£) on the right by  $u^u$  we obtain  $u^vv^uu^u + u^uv^uu^u = u^u$ . Since  $u^uv^u = 1_{A^u}$ , it follows that  $u^vv^uu^u = 0$ . Since  $u^v$  is a monomorphism, we have  $v^uu^u = 0$ . Thus (ii) holds.

(ii) ⇒ (iii) follows from proposition 1.

(iii)  $\iff$  (iv). Consider the diagram

$$0 \rightarrow H(X,A^{\dagger}) \rightarrow H(X,A) \rightarrow H(X,A^{\prime\prime}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

where  $\phi z = (v^{\dagger}z, v^{\dagger}z)$  and the remaining maps are the obvious ones. The second square is always commutative. The first square is commutative because  $v^{\dagger}u^{\dagger} = 1_{\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}}$ . Since the lower row is exact, it follows that the upper row is exact if and only if  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, i.e. if and only if (iii) holds.

 $(iv) \Longrightarrow (i)$ . Since (iv) holds for each X it follows that the sequence  $0 \Longrightarrow A^{0} \xrightarrow{u^{0}} A \xrightarrow{v^{0}} A^{v}$  is exact. Thus we only need to show that  $v^{v}$  is an epimorphism. This follows from (iii) and elementary property 2.

Proposition 4. Given

$$u^{\dagger}: A^{\dagger} \rightarrow A$$

the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) There exists  $v^i: A \rightarrow A^i$  such that  $v^iu^i = I_{A^i}$ .
- (ii) There exists  $u^n \colon A^n \to A$  such that  $u^n, u^n$  yield a direct sum decomposition of A. (iii)  $H(A,X) \to H(A^n,X)$  induced by  $u^n$  is an epimorphism for all X.

Proof. (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii). Given  $u^1, v^1$ , let  $v^m \colon A \to A^m$  be the cokernel of  $u^1$ . Then the sequence  $A^1 \xrightarrow{u^1} A \xrightarrow{v^m} A^m \to 0$  is exact. Since  $v^1u^1 = 1_{A^1}$ , it follows that  $u^1$  is a monomorphism and thus (i) of Prop. 3 holds. Consequently (ii) holds and  $u^1, u^m$  are a direct sum representation of A.

(ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii). This follows from the dual of Prop. 3, implication (iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iv).

(iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i). Since  $H(A,A^{\dagger}) \to H(A^{\dagger},A^{\dagger})$  is an epimorphism there exists  $v^{\dagger} \in H(A,A^{\dagger})$  such that  $v^{\dagger}u^{\dagger} = l_{A^{\dagger}}$ .

Definition. If the conditions (i) - (iii) of Prop. 4 are satisfied, we shall say that  $u^{i}\colon A^{i}\to A$  is a direct summand of A.

5. Split exact sequences.

Consider an exact sequence A

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \dots \to A_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{\underline{u}_{\underline{i}-1}} A_{\underline{i}-1} \xrightarrow{\underline{u}_{\underline{i}-1}} \dots, \qquad -\infty < \underline{i} < \infty.$$

For each i, consider a factorization

$$A_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{V}_{1}^{i}} Z_{1-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{W}_{1}^{i}-1} A_{1}, \qquad u_{1} = w_{1-1} v_{1},$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_{\hat{\mathbf{1}}}$  is an epimorphism and  $\mathbf{w}_{\hat{\mathbf{1}}-\hat{\mathbf{1}}}$  is a monomorphism. There result exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Z_{1} \xrightarrow{W_{1}^{2}} A_{1} \xrightarrow{V_{1}^{2}} Z_{1-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 1. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) For each X & A the sequence H(X,A) is exact.
- (i\*) For each X  $\epsilon$  A the sequence H(A,X) is exact.
- (ii) Each v; is a direct factor of Ai.
- (ii\*) Each w<sub>i</sub> is a direct factor of Ai.
- (iii) There exist maps  $\mathbf{s}_{\texttt{i}} \colon \mathbf{A}_{\texttt{i}} \to \mathbf{A}_{\texttt{i+1}}$  (-co< i <  $\infty$  ) such that

Proof. (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii). We consider the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Z}_{\hat{\mathtt{l}}},\mathrm{A}_{\hat{\mathtt{l}}+\hat{\mathtt{l}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Z}_{\hat{\mathtt{l}}},\mathrm{A}_{\hat{\mathtt{l}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Z}_{\hat{\mathtt{l}}},\mathrm{A}_{\hat{\mathtt{l}}-\hat{\mathtt{l}}})$$

and the element  $w_i \in H(Z_i, A_i)$ . We have  $u_i w_i = 0$  since  $w_i = \text{Ker } u_i$ . Thus  $w_i$  is in the image of  $H(Z_i, A_{i+1})$ , and there exists  $z \in H(Z_i, A_{i+1})$  such that  $u_{i+1}z = w_i$ . Thus

$$w_{i\downarrow} = u_{i+1}z = w_{i}v_{i+1}z$$

and since wi is a monomorphism

Thus, by 4, prop.  $4^*$ ,  $v_{irl}$  is a direct factor.

(ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii). In view of 4, Prop. 3\* there exist maps

$$Z_{i} \leftarrow A_{i} \leftarrow Z_{i-1}$$

such that

$$\vec{v}_{\underline{i}}\vec{v}_{\underline{i}} = \mathbf{1}_{Z_{\underline{i}}}, \quad \vec{v}_{\underline{i}}\vec{v}_{\underline{i}} = \mathbf{1}_{Z_{\underline{i}-\underline{1}}}, \quad \vec{v}_{\underline{i}}\vec{v}_{\underline{i}} + \vec{v}_{\underline{i}}\vec{v}_{\underline{i}} = \mathbf{1}_{A_{\underline{i}}}.$$

$$s_{\underline{i}} = \vec{v}_{\underline{i}+\underline{1}}\vec{w}_{\underline{i}} : A_{\underline{i}} \rightarrow A_{\underline{i}+\underline{1}}.$$

We have

Set

(iii) 
$$\Longrightarrow$$
 (i). For X  $\epsilon$   $\mathcal{A}$  consider the maps 
$$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X},\mathrm{A}_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{u}_{1}^{\ast}} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X},\mathrm{A}_{i}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{u}_{1}^{\ast}} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X},\mathrm{A}_{i-1})$$

with  $u_{1+1}$ ,  $u_{1}$  induced by  $u_{1+1}$ ,  $u_{2}$ . Given a:  $X \to A_{1+1}$  we have

$$u_{i}^{t} u_{i+1}^{t} (a) = u_{i+1}^{t} u_{i}^{t} a = 0$$

so that  $u_{\underline{i}}^{\,i} u_{\underline{i}+\underline{l}}^{\,i} = 0$ . Now let b  $\epsilon H(X,A_{\underline{i}})$  and  $u_{\underline{i}}^{\,i}b = 0$ . Then  $b = (u_{\underline{i}+\underline{l}} s_{\underline{i}} + s_{\underline{i}-\underline{l}} u_{\underline{i}})b = u_{\underline{i}+\underline{l}}^{\,i} (sb).$ 

Thus Im  $u_{\frac{1}{1+1}} = \text{Ker } u_{\frac{1}{1}}$  and the sequence H(X,A) is exact.

Having proved the implications (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i) the remainder of the proposition follows since (iii) is a self-dual statement.

Definition. If the conditions of Prop. 1, we say that the exact sequence

A splits. The maps {si} such as in (iii) are called splitting maps for A.

Corollary. The sequence A splits if and only if each of the sequences

(2) splits.

Proposition 2. Let

$$0 \to A^{\mathfrak{l}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{l}}} A \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{l}}} A^{\mathfrak{l}} \to 0 , \quad 0 \to B^{\mathfrak{l}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{V}^{\mathfrak{l}}} B \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{V}^{\mathfrak{l}}} B^{\mathfrak{l}} \to 0$$

be exact sequences that split and let

$$A^{!} \stackrel{S^{!}}{\Longleftrightarrow} A \stackrel{S^{!!}}{\Longleftrightarrow} A^{!!}$$
  $B^{!} \stackrel{t^{!}}{\Longleftrightarrow} B \stackrel{t^{!!}}{\Longrightarrow} B^{!!}$ 

be appropriate splitting maps. A diagram

is commutative if and only if

$$f = v^{\dagger}f^{\dagger}s^{\dagger} + t^{\dagger}f^{\dagger}u^{\dagger} + v^{\dagger}gu^{\dagger}$$

for some g:  $A^{11} \rightarrow B^{1}$ . If this is the case then

Proof. Assume the diagram is commutative. Then

$$f = f(u^{1}s^{1} + s^{11}u^{11}) = v^{1}f^{1}s^{1} + fs^{11}u^{11}$$

$$= v^{1}f^{1}s^{1} + (v^{1}t^{1} + t^{11}v^{11})fs^{11}u^{11}$$

$$= v^{1}f^{1}s^{1} + t^{11}f^{11}u^{11}s^{11}u^{11} + v^{1}t^{1}fs^{11}u^{11}.$$

Since

$$u^{ii} = u^{ii}(u^{i}s^{i} + s^{ii}u^{ii}) = u^{ii}s^{ii}u^{ii}$$

we find that f satisfies (A) with g = t'fs".

- Conversely assume that (1) holds. - Then

since  $s^{!}u^{!} = l_{A^{!}}$ ,  $v^{!!}t^{!!} = l_{B^{!!}}$ . Thus the diagram is commutative. Moreover  $t^{!}fs^{!!} = t^{!}v^{!}f^{!}s^{!}s^{!!} + t^{!}t^{!!}f^{!!}u^{!!}s^{!!} + t^{!}v^{!}gu^{!!}s^{!!}.$ 

Now

$$s^{\dagger}s^{\dagger\prime} = s^{\dagger}(u^{\dagger}s^{\dagger} + s^{\dagger\prime}u^{\dagger\prime})s^{\dagger\prime} = s^{\dagger}s^{\prime\prime} + s^{\dagger}s^{\prime\prime}$$

because  $s^{\dagger}u^{\dagger}$  and  $u^{ii}s^{ii}$  are identity maps. Thus  $s^{\dagger}s^{ii}=0$ . Similarly  $t^{\dagger}t^{ii}=0$ . Thus

because  $t^{\dagger}v^{\dagger}$  and  $u^{\prime\prime\prime}s^{\prime\prime\prime}$  are identities. This concludes the proof.

6. Subgadgets.

For each object A in a category  $\mathcal{A}$  we have defined the ordered classes M(A) and E(A). These were the monomorphism classes us  $B \to A$  resp. the epimorphism classes us  $A \to B$ . We shall now see that in the case of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ , the classes M(A) and E(A) essentially coincide.

Proposition. Consider exact sequences

$$0 \to A^{\parallel} \xrightarrow{u} A \xrightarrow{v} A^{\parallel} \to 0$$

$$0 \to A_{1} \xrightarrow{u_{1}} A \xrightarrow{v_{1}} A_{1} \xrightarrow{u} \to 0.$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $u < u_7$  (in M(A))
- (2)  $v_1 > v$  (in E(A))
- (3)  $v_7 u = 0$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3).  $u_{\bar{1}} < u$  means that there exists w:  $A^{\circ} \to A_{\bar{1}}^{\circ}$  such that  $u = u_{\bar{1}} w$ . Then  $v_{\bar{1}} u = v_{\bar{1}} u_{\bar{1}} w = 0$ .

(3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1). Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(A^0, A_1^0) \xrightarrow{\psi} H(A^0, A) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H(A^0, A_1^0).$$

For  $u \in H(A^\circ, A)$  we have  $\phi u = v_{\underline{l}} u = 0$ . Thus there exists an element  $w \in H(A^\circ, A_{\underline{l}}^\circ)$  such that  $\psi w = u$ . Thus  $u_{\underline{l}} w = u$  and  $u < u_{\underline{l}}$ .

The proof of  $(2) \iff (3)$  is dual.

In view of this proposition we find that the relation  $u \longleftrightarrow v$  establishes a 1-1 order reversing correspondence between M(A) and E(A). If we reverse the order in E(A) we can identify this class with M(A). We shall call M(A) the class of subobjects of A. Any subobject of A may thus be represented by a monomorphism u:  $B \to A$  or an epimorphism v:  $A \to C$ . When we pass to the dual category, inequalities are reversed.

Proposition. The class M(A) is a lattice.

Proof. Given  $u_1$ ,  $u_2 \in M(A)$  represented by monomorphisms

$$u_1 : B_1 \rightarrow A$$
  $u_2 : B_2 \rightarrow A$ 

consider the direct sum of B1 and B2 represented by

$$v_1: B_1 \rightarrow B, v_2: B_2 \rightarrow B.$$

There exists then a unique map

such that uv, = u, uv, = u2. Let

$$B \xrightarrow{u^{\dagger}} C \xrightarrow{u^{\dagger}} A, \qquad u = u^{\dagger}u^{\dagger}$$

where  $u^i$  is an epimorphism and  $u^{ii}$  is a monomorphism. Then  $u^{ii}u^iv_1=u_1$  and  $u^{ii}u^iv_2=u_2$ . Thus  $u_1< u^{ii}$ ,  $u_2< u^{ii}$ .

Suppose that  $u_1 < w$ ,  $u_2 < w$ . Represent w as an epimorphism w:  $A \to D$ . Then by Prop.  $wu_1 = 0$ , i = 1,2. This implies  $wuv_1 = 0$ , i = 1,2 and therefore wu = 0. Thus  $wu^{ij}u^{ij} = 0$ . Since  $u^{ij}$  is an epimorphism it follows that  $wu^{ij} = 0$ . Thus  $u^{ij} < w$ . This proves that  $u^{ij} = u_1 \cup u_2$ .

The existence of  $u_1 \cap u_2$  is dual.

It is clear that the existence of  $\bigcup_i u_i$  for i  $\epsilon$  I depends only on the -existence of the direct sum of  $A_i$ , i  $\epsilon$  I.

Given

in A we shall define maps

$$f^{+} \epsilon M(A) \rightarrow M(B), f^{-} \epsilon M(B) \rightarrow M(A)$$

as follows. Given a monomorphism us  $A^1 \to A$  define  $f^+(u) = Im \ fu$ . Given an epimorphism vs  $A \to A^1$  define  $f^-(v) = Coker \ vf$ .

The sorite concerning  $f^*$ ,  $f^*$ ,  $u_1 \cup u_2$ ,  $u_1 \cap u_2$  is omitted.

agarter: th. d'ésanoplismes de mele noether.

כיצוני חנורים כני מלאומי ניין ייף ניהן

III devraitébre l'homoeogre abstraite (campléses, ----)
chap. Il et II du métique doque traduits en colégonies caddiliss.

Il sombrés soli

1-78-70-7 E

#### IV. RESOLUTIONS

1. Classes of exact sequences.

Let  $\mathcal A$  be an abelian category and let  $\mathcal E$  be a class of exact sequences (ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ ) in  $\mathcal A$ . An object  $\mathbf A$   $\mathcal E$  will be called  $\mathcal E$ -projective provided the sequence  $\mathbf H(\mathbf A,\mathbf E)$  is exact for every  $\mathbf E$   $\mathcal E$  . The class of all  $\mathcal E$ -projective objects will be denoted by  $\mathcal E$ - $\mathcal P$ .

We now define the class  $\overline{\xi}$  of exact sequences as follows:  $E \in \overline{\xi}$  provided H(A,E) is exact for every  $A \in E - P$ . Clearly  $E \subset \overline{\xi}$  and  $E - P = \overline{\xi} - P$ . Therefore  $\overline{\xi} = \overline{\xi}$ . If  $\xi = \overline{\xi}$  then we say that the class E is closed. Henceforth we shall assume that E is a closed class of exact sequences in A.

Proposition 1. Consider exact sequences

$$(E_n) 0 \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow Z_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $-\infty \le n < \infty$ . Let

$$(E) \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

be the sequence defined by compositions

$$A_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow A_n$$

Then the sequence E is in  $\xi$  if and only if each  $E_n$  is in  $\xi$ .

Proof. Let A be & -projective. Each sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}) \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}) \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n-1}})$$

is exact. It is now clear that the sequence H(A,E) is exact if and only if each  $\phi_n$  is an epimorphism. Thus E  $\epsilon$   $\xi$  if and only if  $E_n$   $\epsilon$   $\xi$  for each n.

Proposition 2. Let E and E; (i.e I)be exact sequences and let 
$$v_{\underline{i}}\colon E \to E_{\underline{i}}, \quad i \colon \epsilon \text{ I}$$

be a family of maps which yield direct product representations for each index n. Then E  $\epsilon$  & if and only if each  $E_i$   $\epsilon$  &.

Proof. Let A  $\epsilon$   $\xi$  -  $\mathcal{P}_{\circ}$ . Then we have the isomorphism

$$H(A,E) \approx \prod_{i \in I} H(A,E_i).$$

Thus the sequence H(A,E) is exact if and only if each of the sequences  $H(A,E_{\hat{1}})$  is exact.

Proposition 3. Let

$$0 \to E^{\mathfrak{l}} \to E \to E^{\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l}} \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of exact sequences, and assume that for each n the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_n^i \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow E_n^{ii} \rightarrow 0$$

is in  $\xi$ . If two of the sequences E, E', E'' are in  $\xi$ , then so is the third.

Proof. Let A be &-projective. Then each of the sequences

$$0 \to \mathtt{H}(\mathtt{A},\mathtt{E}_{\mathtt{n}^{\mathfrak{l}}}) \to \mathtt{H}(\mathtt{A},\mathtt{E}_{\mathtt{n}}) \to \mathtt{H}(\mathtt{A},\mathtt{E}_{\mathtt{n}^{\mathfrak{m}}}) \to 0$$

is exact. It follows that the sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow H(A,E^{\circ}) \rightarrow H(A,E) \rightarrow H(A,E^{\circ}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus we obtain an exact diagram

$$\mathcal{H}(H(A,E)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(H(A,E^n))$$

$$\mathcal{H}(H(A,E^n))$$

of homology groups. Thus if two of the homology groups are zero, so is the third.

Proposition 4. Let  $v_i \colon A_i \to A$ , i  $\varepsilon$  I be a direct sum representation of A. Then A is  $\xi$ -projective if and only if each  $A_i$  is  $\xi$ -projective.

Proof. Let E be any exact sequence in &. Then the map

$$H(A,E) \rightarrow TH(A,E)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus H(A,E) is exact if and only if each  $H(A_1,E)$  is exact.

Proposition 5. If  $0 \to A^{!} \xrightarrow{u^{!}} A^{"} \to 0$  is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A^{"}$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective then the exact sequence splits (i.e.  $u^{!}$  is a direct summand and (or)  $v^{"}$  is a direct factor).

Proof. The sequence

$$0 \to H(A^n, A^n) \to H(A^n, A) \to H(A^n, A^n) \to 0$$

is exact. Therefore there exists a map  $u^n\colon A^n\to A$  such that  $v^nu^n=1_{A^n}$ . Thus  $v^n$  is a direct factor.

2. Resolutions.

Consider a sequence

$$(1) \qquad \dots \to X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \to \dots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_o \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \to 0$$

in A with

$$Ed_1 = 0$$
,  $d_id_{i+1} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, ...$ 

The sequence (1) may also be written in the form of a commutative diagram

The top row yields a complex which will be denoted by X, the bottom row is a complex which may be identified with A. The  $\epsilon\colon X\to A$  is a map of complexes. We shall say that X is a complex over A with  $\epsilon$  as augmentation.

We shall say that X is  $\mathcal{E}$ -acyclic if (1) is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$ . We shall say that X is  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective if each  $X_1$  (i = 1,2,...) is  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective. If X (together with  $\mathcal{E}$ ) is both  $\mathcal{E}$ -acyclic and  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective then we say that X is an  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective resolution of A (with  $\mathcal{E}$  as augmentation).

Proposition 1. Consider a diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X & X^{1} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \epsilon^{1} \\ A & \Longrightarrow A^{1} \end{array}$$

where X (resp. X') is a complex over A (resp. A') with augmentation  $\varepsilon$  (resp.  $\varepsilon^{\circ}$ ). If X is  $\xi$ -projective and X' is  $\xi$ -acyclic, then there exists a map F: X  $\rightarrow$  X' such that  $\varepsilon^{\circ}F = f\varepsilon$ . Any two such maps F are homotopic.

A map F as above will be called a map over f.

Proof. Since  $X_0$  is  $\xi$ -projective and  $X^*$  is  $\xi$ -acyclic, it follows that the sequence

$$H(X_0, X_0^1) \rightarrow H(X_0, A^1) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus there exists an element  $F_o \in H(X_o, X_o^!)$  which maps onto  $f \epsilon \in H(X_o, A^!).$  Thus  $\epsilon^! F_o = f \epsilon.$  Assume now that  $F_{\underline{i}} \colon X_{\underline{i}} \to X_{\underline{i}}^!$  are defined for  $\underline{i} = 0, \ldots, n-1$  (n > 1) and that

$$d_{i}^{\circ}F_{i} = F_{i-1}d_{i}$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., n-1$   
 $\epsilon^{\circ}F_{o} = f\epsilon$ .

Since  $X_{ ext{n}}$  is  $\xi$ -projective and  $X^{ ext{!}}$  is  $\xi$ -acyclic we have the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{!}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\mathrm{!}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}},\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}-2}^{\mathrm{!}})$$

(if n=1, replace  $X_{n-2}$  by  $A^{\circ}$ ). Consider the element  $F_{n-1}d_n\in H(X_n,X_{n-1})$ . Its image in  $H(X_n,X_{n-2})$  is

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{d}_{n-1} F_{n-1} \operatorname{d}_{n} &=& F_{n-2} \operatorname{d}_{n-1} \operatorname{d}_{n} &=& 0 & \text{ if } n > 1 \\ \\ \epsilon^{!} F_{0} \operatorname{d}_{1} &=& \operatorname{f} \epsilon \operatorname{d}_{1} &=& 0 & \text{ if } n = 1. \end{array}$$

Thus there exists  $F_{i}$ :  $X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$  with  $d_{i}$   $F_{i} = F_{i-1}d_{i}$ . This proves the existence of  $F_{i}$ .

Suppose now that  $F,G: X \to X^!$  are two maps over  $f: A \to A^!$ . We shall define a homotopy  $S: F \simeq G$ . Clearly  $S_{\underline{1}}: X_{\underline{1}} \to X_{\underline{1}+1}^!$  is zero for i < 0. As above we have the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}},\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{r}}) \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}},\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{r}}) \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}},\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{r}}).$$

Consider the element  $G_{o} - F_{o} \in H(X_{o}, X_{o}^{\circ})$ . Its image in  $H(X_{o}, A^{\circ})$  is

$$\varepsilon^{\epsilon}(G_{O}-F_{O})=f\varepsilon-f\varepsilon=0.$$

Thus there is  $S_o \in H(X_o, X_l^s)$  with  $d_l^s S_o = G_o - F_o$ . Consequently

$$d_1^{\circ}S_0 + S_{-1}d_0 = G_0 - F_0$$

since  $S_{i}=0$ . Assume now that  $S_{i}\colon X_{i}\to X_{i+1}$  is already defined for i< n (n>0) and that

(A) 
$$d_{i+1}^{j} S_{i} + S_{i-1} d_{i} = G_{i} + F_{i}$$
 for  $i < n$ .

Consider the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}},\!\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n+1}}^{\mathrm{t}}) \, \Rightarrow \, \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}},\!\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{t}}) \, \Rightarrow \, \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}},\!\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n-1}}^{\mathrm{t}})$$

and consider the element

$$u = G_n - F_n - S_{n-1}d_n \in H(X_n, X_n^{\dagger}).$$

We have

$$d_n^{i}u = d_n^{i}G_n - d_n^{i}F_n - d_n^{i}S_{n-1}d_n =$$

$$= G_n d_n - F_n d_n - (G_n - F_n - S_{n-2}d_{n-1})d_n = 0.$$

Thus there exists  $S_n \in H(X_n, X_{n+1})$  such that  $d_{n+1}S_n = u$ . It follows that (A) holds for i = n. This completes the proof.

3. Resolutions of exact sequences.

Proposition 1. Consider the diagram

$$0 \to A^{1} \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} A^{n} \to 0$$

where the row is E-exact, X! is an E-acyclic complex over A!, X" is an Eprojective complex over A". There exists a complex X over A and maps such that
the diagram

$$0 \to X^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{F} X \xrightarrow{G} X^{\dagger} \to 0$$

$$\varepsilon^{\dagger} \downarrow \qquad \varepsilon \downarrow \qquad \varepsilon^{\dagger} \downarrow \qquad 0$$

$$0 \to A^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} A^{\dagger} \to 0$$

is commutative and such that each row

$$(A) \qquad 0 \to X_n \xrightarrow{F_n} X_n \xrightarrow{G_n} X_n \xrightarrow{n} \to 0$$

is exact and splits.

Proof. For each n, let  $\mathbf{X}_n$  be a direct sum of  $\mathbf{X}_n{}^i$  and  $\mathbf{X}_n{}^{ii}$ . There results a split exact sequence

$$0 \to X_n^{\mathfrak k} \xrightarrow{F_n} X_n \xrightarrow{G_n} X_n^{\mathfrak m} \longrightarrow 0$$

with splitting maps

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \overset{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{\longleftarrow} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}} \overset{\overline{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathbf{n}}}{\longleftarrow} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{n}}.$$

Let  $\Sigma_n$ :  $X_n$   $\to$   $X_{n-1}$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$  be any sequence of maps. Setting

$$d_n = F_{n-1}d_n {}^{t}\overline{F}_n + \overline{G}_{n-1}d_n {}^{t}G_n + F_{n-1}\Sigma_n G_n$$

we obtain maps  $d_n$ :  $X_n o X_{n-1}$  and by II,4, prop. 2 the diagrams

$$0 \to X_n^{\mathfrak{l}} \to X_n \to X_n^{\mathfrak{l}} \to 0$$

$$0 \to X_{n-1}^{\mathfrak{l}} \to X_n \to X_{n-1}^{\mathfrak{l}} \to 0$$

are commutative.

Further let  $\sigma: X_0^{\ m} \to A$  be any map, and define

$$\varepsilon = f \varepsilon^! \overline{F}_0 + \sigma G_0 \colon X \to A.$$

Then

$$\varepsilon F_o = f \varepsilon^{\dagger} \overline{F}_o F_o + \sigma G_o F_o = f \varepsilon^{\dagger}$$

since  $\overline{F}_{o}F_{o} = 1_{X_{o}^{1}}$ ,  $G_{o}F_{o} = 0$ . Thus the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\circ} & \xrightarrow{F_{\circ}} & X_{\circ} \\ \varepsilon & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ A^{\dagger} & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

is commutative. Further

$$\bar{\epsilon G_0} = f \epsilon^1 \bar{F_0} \bar{G_0} + \sigma G_0 \bar{G_0} = \sigma$$

because  $\overline{F}_0\overline{G}_0=0$  and  $G_0\overline{G}_0=1_{X_0^{11}}$ . Conversely if  $\epsilon\colon X_0\to A$  is such that  $\epsilon F_0=f\epsilon^i$  then

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon (F_0 \overline{F}_0 + \overline{G}_0 G_0) = f \varepsilon^{\dagger} \overline{F}_0 + \varepsilon \overline{G}_0 G_0 = f \varepsilon^{\dagger} \overline{F}_0 + \sigma G_0$$

if we set  $\sigma = \varepsilon \overline{G}_{o}$ .

The commutativity in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X_{\circ} \longrightarrow X_{\circ}^{"} \\ \varepsilon \downarrow & \downarrow \varepsilon^{"} \\ A \longrightarrow A^{"} \end{array}$$

and the conditions  $\epsilon d_1 = 0$ ,  $d_{n-1}d_n = 0$  for n>0, are then equivalent with the conditions

(i) 
$$\begin{cases} g\sigma = \varepsilon^{i} \\ f\varepsilon^{i} \Sigma_{\underline{l}} + \sigma d_{\underline{l}}^{ij} = 0 \\ d_{n-1}^{i} \Sigma_{\underline{n}} + \Sigma_{n-1}^{i} d_{\underline{n}}^{ij} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The problem thus reduces to finding  $\sigma$  and  $\{\Sigma_n\}$  satisfying equations (i). These will be solved step by step as follows. First condider the sequence

$$H(X_0^n,A) \rightarrow H(X_0^n,A^n) \rightarrow 0$$

which is exact because  $X_0^m$  is  $\xi$ -projective and  $0 \to A^* \to A \to A^m \to 0$  is in  $\xi$ . There is then  $\sigma \in H(X_0^m,A)$  such that  $g\sigma = \epsilon^m$ .

From the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H(X_{\underline{1}}^{\mathfrak{m}}, A^{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow H(X_{\underline{1}}^{\mathfrak{m}}, A) \rightarrow H(X_{\underline{1}}^{\mathfrak{m}}, A^{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\qquad \qquad \qquad \rightarrow H(X_{\underline{1}}^{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\underline{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow H(X_{\underline{1}}^{\mathfrak{m}}, A^{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow 0$$

we deduce the exact sequence

$$H(X_1^{"},X_0^{"}) \rightarrow H(X_1^{"},A) \rightarrow H(X_1^{"},A^{"}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the element  $u = -\sigma d_1$ "  $\varepsilon H(X_1",A)$ . Its image in  $H(X_1",A")$  is gu =  $-g\sigma d_1$ " =  $-\varepsilon$ "  $d_1$ " = 0. Thus there exists  $\Sigma_1 \varepsilon H(X_1",X_0")$  with  $f\varepsilon$ !  $\Sigma_1 = u = -\sigma d_1$ ". Next consider the exact sequence

$$H(X_{2}^{"}, X_{1}^{"}) \rightarrow H(X_{2}^{"}, X_{0}^{"}) \rightarrow H(X_{2}, A^{"}).$$

In  $H(X_2^n,X_0^n)$  consider the element  $u=-\Sigma_1 d_2^n$ . Its image in  $H(X_2,A^n)$  is  $\epsilon^n u=-\epsilon^n \Sigma_1 d_2^n$ . We have

$$f\epsilon^{1}u = -f\epsilon^{1}\Sigma_{1}d_{2}^{11} = \sigma d_{1}^{11}d_{2}^{11} = 0.$$

Since f is a monomorphism it follows that  $\epsilon^! u = 0$  and thus there exists  $\Sigma_2 \in H(X_2^n, X_1^n)$  with  $d_1^! \Sigma_2 = u$ . Thus  $d_1^! \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_1 d_2^n = 0$  as desired.

Finally assume by induction that  $\sigma$ ,  $\Sigma_1$ ,  $\Sigma_2$ ,...,  $\Sigma_{n-1}$  are defined for some n>2 and that equations (i) hold. Consider the exact sequence

$$H(X_n'', X_n^{1/2}) \rightarrow H(X_n'', X_n^{1/2}) \rightarrow H(X_n'', X_n^{1/2})$$

and in  $H(X_n^{\tt w},X_{n-2}^{\tt l}_2)$  take the element  $u=-\Sigma_{n-1}d_n^{\tt w}$ . Its image in  $H(X_n^{\tt w},X_{n-2}^{\tt l}_3)$  is  $d_{n-2}^{\tt l}u=-d_{n-2}^{\tt l}\Sigma_{n-1}d_n^{\tt w}=\Sigma_{n-2}d_{n-1}^{\tt w}d_n^{\tt w}=0. \text{ Thus there exists } \Sigma_n\in H(X_n^{\tt w},X_{n-1}^{\tt l}_1)$  such that  $d_{n-1}^{\tt l}\Sigma_n=u$ . Then  $d_{n-1}^{\tt l}\Sigma_n+\Sigma_{n-1}d_n^{\tt w}=0$ , and the proof is complete.

Corollary. If X' and X'' are  $\xi$ -projective resolutions of A' and A'' then X is an  $\xi$ -projective resolution of A.

Indeed, since each  $X_1$  is a direct sum of  $X_1^*$  and  $X_1^*$  it follows from 1, prop. 4 that  $X_1$  is  $\xi$ -projective. There remains to verify that the sequence

$$\ldots \to \mathtt{X}_{\mathtt{n}} \to \mathtt{X}_{\mathtt{n-1}} \to \ldots \to \mathtt{X}_{\mathtt{o}} \to \mathtt{A} \to \mathtt{0}$$

is in E: This follows from 1, prop. 3.

Definition. Consider a commutative diagram

$$0 \to X_1 \to X \to X_2 \to 0$$

$$0 \to Y_1 \to Y \to Y_2 \to 0$$

with exact rows. If X (resp X¹, resp X") is an  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective resolution of A (resp A¹, resp A") then  $0 \to X^1 \to X \to X^0 \to 0$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective resolution of  $0 \to A^1 \to A \to A^0 \to 0$ .

Proposition 2. Let

$$0 \to A^{n} \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} A^{n} \to 0$$

$$\downarrow \phi^{n} \qquad \downarrow \phi \qquad \downarrow \phi^{n}$$

$$0 \to B^{n} \xrightarrow{f^{*}} B \xrightarrow{g^{*}} B^{n} \to 0$$

be a commutative diagram with exact rows. Let

$$0 \to X^{0} \xrightarrow{F} X \xrightarrow{G} X^{10} \to 0, \quad 0 \to Y^{0} \xrightarrow{F^{*}} Y \xrightarrow{G^{*}} Y^{10} \to 0$$

be E-projective resolutions of the exact sequences

$$0 \to A^{\mathfrak{l}} \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} A^{\mathfrak{N}} \to 0, \quad 0 \to B^{\mathfrak{l}} \xrightarrow{f^{*}} B \xrightarrow{g^{*}} B^{\mathfrak{N}} \to 0.$$

Then there exist maps

$$\Phi^{\circ}: X^{\circ} \to Y^{\circ}, \quad \Phi^{\circ}: X \to Y, \quad \Phi^{\circ}: X^{\circ} \to Y^{\circ}$$

over  $\phi^{\varsigma}$  ,  $\phi,~\phi^{\text{M}}$  respectively such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} O & \rightarrow \lambda_1 & \rightarrow \lambda & \rightarrow \lambda_{10} & \rightarrow O \\ & & \uparrow^{\underline{\phi}_1} & \uparrow^{\underline{\phi}} & \uparrow^{\underline{\phi}_{11}} \\ O & \rightarrow \chi_1 & \rightarrow \chi & \rightarrow \chi_{10} & \rightarrow O \end{array}$$

is commutative.

If  $\psi^{\circ}: X^{\circ} \to Y^{\circ}$ ,  $\psi^{\circ}: X \to Y$ ,  $\psi^{\circ}: X^{\circ} \to Y^{\circ}$  is another triple of maps with the same property then there exists a triple of homotopies:

such that for each n the diagram

is commutative.

Proof. Let

$$X_n! \stackrel{F_n}{\rightleftharpoons} X_n \stackrel{G_n}{\rightleftharpoons} X_n"$$

be diagrams with properties as listed at the beginning of the proof of prop. 1. As in that proof we assume that the maps  $d_n\colon X_n\to X_{n-1}$  and  $\epsilon\colon X_0\to A$  are given by maps

$$\sigma \colon X_{o}^{m} \to A, \qquad \Sigma_{n} \colon X_{n}^{m} \to X_{n-1}^{m}$$

satisfying condition (i). We shall make similar constructions for the exact

sequence 0  $\rightarrow$  Y°  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\rightarrow$  Y°  $\rightarrow$  0 with all the homomorphisms carrying an asterisk.

We choose maps

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X_{\mathfrak{l}} \to X_{\mathfrak{s}}, \quad \Phi_{\mathfrak{u}} \times X_{\mathfrak{u}} \to X_{\mathfrak{u}}$$

over  $\phi^{\circ}$  and  $\phi^{\circ\circ}$  using Prop. 1. We shall show that  $\ \ X \to Y$  can be constructed so as to satisfy the conditions of the proposition.

A map  $\Phi_n$ :  $X_n \rightarrow Y_n$  such that the diagram

$$0 \to X_{n}^{*} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{n}}} X_{n} \xrightarrow{G_{\underline{n}}} X_{n}^{"} \to 0$$

$$\downarrow \overline{\Phi}_{n}^{*} \qquad \downarrow \overline{\Phi}_{n} \qquad \downarrow \overline{\Phi}_{n}^{"} \to 0$$

$$0 \to Y_{n}^{*} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{n}}^{*}} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{G_{\underline{n}}^{*}} Y_{n}^{"} \to 0$$

must be of the form (II, 4, prop. 2),

$$\Phi_n = F_n^* \Phi^n \overline{F}_n + \overline{G}_n^* \Phi_n^{"}G_n + F_n^* \Gamma_n G_n$$

where

$$\Gamma_n : X_n \to Y_n .$$

The commutativity conditions in the diagrams

translate as follows:

(ii) 
$$\begin{cases} f^* \epsilon^{i*} \Gamma_o = \varphi \sigma - \sigma^* \overline{\Phi}_o" \\ d_n^i \Gamma_n - \Gamma_{n-1} d_n" = \overline{\Phi}_{n-1}^i \Sigma_n - \Sigma_n^* \overline{\Phi}_n". \end{cases}$$

These equations are solved step by step as in the previous proof. Boring procedure is omitted.

The second part of the proposition can be proved by the same method. However there exists a more conceptual proof which will be given here.

Let

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{l}} \colon X_{\mathfrak{l}} \to X_{\mathfrak{l}} \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{l}} \colon X \to X^{\mathfrak{l}} \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{l}} \colon X_{\mathfrak{l}} \to X_{\mathfrak{l}}$$

be another triple of maps over  $\phi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$  ,  $\phi$  ,  $\phi^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$  . By prop. 1 we have homotopies

Consider the maps

$$T_n = F_{n+1}^* S_n : \overline{F}_n + \overline{G}_{n+1}^* S_n "G_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}.$$

There is then a unique map  $\overline{\psi}:X\to Y$  over  $\phi$  such that  $T:\ \underline{\Phi}\simeq\psi^\circ$ , indeed  $\overline{\psi}=\ \underline{\Phi}\div dT+Td.$  Since the diagrams

are commutative, we have a triple of homotopies  $S^!$ , T, S for the triples of maps  $(\Phi^!, \Phi, \Phi^!)$  and  $(\psi^!, \psi, \psi^!)$ , as required in proposition 2. Now we compare the triple  $(\psi^!, \psi, \psi^!)$  with the triple  $(\psi^!, \psi, \psi^!)$ . If we denote  $\Omega = \overline{\psi} - \psi$ , this amounts to comparing the triple  $(0, \Omega, 0)$  with the triple (0, 0, 0); here  $\Omega: X \to Y$  is a map over the zero map  $A \to B$  and the diagram

$$0 \to X_i \xrightarrow{E} X \xrightarrow{G} X_i \to 0$$

$$0 \to X_i \xrightarrow{E} X \xrightarrow{G} X_i \to 0$$

is commutative. By the earlier part of the argument we have maps

$$\Gamma_n \colon X_n \to Y_n$$

such that

$$\Omega_{n} = F_{n}^{*}\Gamma_{n}G_{n}.$$

Conditions (i) become

$$\varepsilon^{i*}\Gamma_{0} = 0$$

$$d_{n}^{i}\Gamma_{n} - \Gamma_{n-1}d_{n}^{m} = 0.$$

Thus  $\Gamma$ : X<sup>n</sup>  $\to$  Y<sup>1</sup> is a map over the zero map A<sup>n</sup>  $\to$  B<sup>1</sup> and  $\Omega$  = F\*TG. By prop. I we have a homotopy U:  $\Gamma \simeq 0$ . Setting W: F\* UG we obtain a homotopy W:  $\Omega \simeq 0$ . Since the diagrams

$$0 \longrightarrow X_{n}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{F_{n}} X_{n} \xrightarrow{G_{n}} X_{n}^{\sharp} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_{n+1}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}^{\star}} Y_{n+1} \xrightarrow{G_{n+1}^{\star}} Y_{n+1}^{\sharp} \longrightarrow 0$$

are commutative, the proof is complete.

4. Existence of resolutions.

Proposition 1. For every closed family  $\xi$  of exact sequences in  ${\cal A}$  the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) Every A & A has an E-projective resolution X.
- (2) For every A ε A there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_o \longrightarrow X_o \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

in & with X &-projective.

is in & and Xo is &-projective. (2) now follows from IV, 1, prop. 1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Using (2) construct a sequence

$$0 \Rightarrow Z_0 \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow A_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \Rightarrow Z_1 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow Z_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \Rightarrow Z_n \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow Z_{n-1} \nearrow 0$$

of sequences in  $\xi$  with  $X_n$   $\xi$ -projective for n=0,1,... It follows from IV, 1, prop. 1 that the exact sequence

$$X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_o \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

is in  $\xi$ . Since each  $X_{\underline{i}}$  is  $\xi$ -projective this yields an  $\xi$ -projective resolution of  $A_{\underline{i}}$ 

Definition. A family & of exact sequences is called <u>complete</u> if it is closed and satisfies the conditions of Prop. 1.

There is a completely dual set of notions obtained by passing to the dual category  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a family of exact sequences in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $\mathcal{E}^*$  denote the corresponding family in  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . An element  $\mathcal{A}$   $\varepsilon$   $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{E}$ -injective if  $\mathcal{A}$   $\varepsilon$   $\mathcal{A}^*$  is  $\mathcal{E}^*$ -projective. Similarly for injective resolutions, etc. Thus  $\mathcal{A}$   $\varepsilon$   $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -injective if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  hom  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$  is exact for every exact sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

5. Examples.

1. Let  $\mathcal A$  be an abelian category and let  $\mathcal E$  be the family of all exact sequences in  $\mathcal A$  that split. If  $\mathbf A$   $\mathcal E$  then it follows from II,5, Prop. 1 that

H(X,A) is exact for every  $X \in A$ . Thus every element of A is  $\xi$ -projective. If A is any exact sequence and if H(X,A) is exact for every  $X \in A$  then again from II,5, Prop. 1 we deduce that A splits. Thus the class  $\xi$  is closed. Since each  $A \in A$  is  $\xi$ -projective, it follows that  $\xi$  is complete. For every  $A \in A$  an  $\xi$ -projective resolution of A can be obtained by taking  $\xi \xi$  itself.

- 2. The most typical case usually considered is when  $\xi$  is the class of all exact sequences in  $A_i$ . This class obviously is closed. The  $\xi$ -projective elements are frequently called projective (with  $\xi$  omitted). In general, the class  $\xi$  is not complete. In Grothendieck's paper two general theorems were given stating sufficient conditions for the completeness of  $\xi$ . In all major applications, fairly simple ad hoc proofs of completeness have been found. Therefore this write-up omits the general theorems, which would require considerable labor and terminology.
- Let  $\Lambda$  be a ring and let  $\Lambda^m$  be the abelian category of left  $\Lambda^m$  modules. We take E to be the class of all exact sequences. In this case the projective modules are exactly the direct summands of free modules. Since each module is isomorphic to the quotient module of a free module it follows that E is complete.

Now consider the class  $\xi^*$  of  $\Lambda^{m}$ . In order to show that this class is complete we must find for each module A a monomorphism  $A \to \overline{A}$  where  $\overline{A}$  is injective. First consider the case  $\Lambda = Z$  (the ring of integers). In this case a module B is injective if and only if nB = B for every integer n > 0. Write the module A in the form A = F/B, F free. Then define  $\overline{A} = (F \otimes \mathcal{P})/B$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the group of all rational numbers. Then  $\overline{A}$  is injective and the natural mapping  $A \to \overline{A}$  is a monomorphism. Now let  $\Lambda$  be any ring and A a left  $\Lambda$ -module. There exists then a Z-monomorphism  $\varphi$ :  $A \to \overline{A}$  where  $\overline{A}$  is Z-injective. This induces a  $\Lambda$ -monomorphism

This combined with the monomorphism

$$A = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Z}(\Lambda, A)$$

yields a monomorphism

$$A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Z}(\Lambda, \overline{A}).$$

There remains to be proved that the last module is  $\Lambda$  -injective. Let then B be any exact sequence in  $\Lambda M$ . We have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(B, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\Lambda, \overline{\mathbb{A}})) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(B \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda, \overline{\mathbb{A}})$$

$$\approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(B, \overline{\mathbb{A}}).$$

This last sequence is exact because  $\overline{A}$  is Z-injective. Thus  $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(\Lambda,\overline{A})$  is  $\Lambda$ -injective.

4. Another interesting example can be extracted from a relative homology theory recently proposed by Hochschild. Let

$$\varphi : \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

be a ring homomorphism. Let  $\mathcal E$  be the class of all exact sequences in  $_{\Gamma} \mathcal M$  which split when regarded as exact sequences in  $_{\Lambda} \mathcal M$ .

We shall show that both & and & are closed and complete.

First we show that for any A  $\epsilon_{\Lambda}M$  the  $\Gamma$ -module  $(\phi)^{A} = \Gamma \otimes_{\Lambda}A$  is  $\xi$ -projective. Indeed we have for any exact sequence B

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}({}_{(\phi)}{}^{A},{}^{B}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \otimes_{\Lambda} A,{}^{B}) \\ \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A,\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma,{}^{B})) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A,{}^{B}). \end{array}$$

This last sequence is exact if B  $\Lambda$ -splits.

Now we can show that  $\xi$  is closed. Indeed suppose B is an exact sequence in  $\Gamma$ M such that  $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(A,B)$  is exact for every A which is  $\xi$ -projective. In particular for every A  $\epsilon_{\Lambda}$ M, the sequence  $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}({}_{(\phi)}A,B)$  is exact. Thus by (\*)  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A,B)$  is exact for every A  $\epsilon_{\Lambda}$ M. This proves (II,5, Prop.1) that B  $\Lambda$ -splits and thus B  $\epsilon$   $\xi$ .

To show that  $\xi$  is complete take A  $\epsilon_{\Gamma}$ M and consider the maps

$$A \rightleftharpoons (\phi)^A$$

given by

$$\alpha = 1 \otimes_{\Lambda} a$$
  $\beta(\gamma \otimes_{\Lambda} a) = \gamma a$ .

Clearly  $\beta\alpha$  = identity,  $\alpha$  is a  $\Gamma$  -monomorphism and  $\beta$  is a  $\Lambda$  -homomorphism. There results an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\varphi)A \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

which  $\Lambda$ -splits. Since  $(\phi)^A$  is  $\xi$ -projective, it follows that  $\xi$  is complete.

The treatment of  $\xi^*$  is similar. For each A  $\epsilon_\Lambda M7$  we consider the  $\Gamma$ -module  $(\phi)_A = \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\Gamma_*A)$  and prove that it is  $\xi$ -injective. Indeed

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(B, (\phi)_{A}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(B, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Gamma, A))$$

$$\approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Gamma \otimes_{\Gamma} B, A) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(B, A).$$

Thus if B is an exact sequence in  $\xi$  then B  $\Lambda$ -splits and  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(B,A)$  is exact (II,5, Prop.1). Thus  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(B, {}^{(\phi)}A)$  is exact and thus  ${}^{(\phi)}A$  is  $\xi$ -injective. The balance of the argument is similar.